SURROUNDING ROCK STABILITY CALCULATION OFPOWERHOUSE CAVERN

[UPPER TRISHULI-1 HEP (216MW)]

2022. 04

中国电建 POWERCHINA Power Construction Corporation of China

FOR APPROVAL

0A	2022.4.25	DONG Z.Z.	ZHANG J.Q.	ZHANG FAN	FOR APPROVAL
REV	DATE	PREPARED	CHECKED	APPROVED	STATUS

Project

Upper Trishuli-1 HEP (216MW)

Owner

NWEDC
NEPAL WATER AND ENERGY DEVELOPMENT CO. PVT.LTD.

Owner's Engineer

TRACTEBEL ENGIE

jade CONSULT

Contractor

DOOSAN Doosan Enerbility Co., Ltd.

Document Title Surrounding Rock Stability Calculation of Powerhouse Cavern	Discipline Civil & Architecture
	Purpose For Approval
	Revision No. 0A
Document No. UT1-C-300-CVL-DC-60001	Number of Pages 16

RECORD OF REVISION

Rev.	Date	Page Affected	Description of Revision
A	25-Apr-2022		First Issue

Content

1 General 1
1.1 Introduction 1
1.2 Design Objective 2
1.3 References 2
2 Theoretical Background of Calculations 2
2.1 Software 2
2.2 Modeling Conditions, Material Law, Constitutive Model 3
2.3 In situ horizontal stress 3
3 Properties of Materials 4
3.1 Rock Mass 4
3.2 Rock Dowels 4
3.3 Shotcrete 4
4 Initial Proposed Supports 4
4.1 Support of Excavation Class 4
4.2 Safety Factor 5
5 Model Set-up, Boundary and Loading Conditions, Stress Initiation 5
6 Calculation Results 6
6.1 Rock class II 6
6.2 Rock class III 9
7 Wedge Analysis 12
7.1 Discontinuity Input Data 12
7.2 Wedge Stability Analysis 13
8 Conclusions 16

1 General

1.1 Introduction

The underground powerhouse is located within the right bank mountain of Trishuli river with vertical buried depth of about 320m to 360m and horizontal rock cover of about 300m to 350m. At present, overall size of powerhouse cavern is L80.0m×W20.6m×H40.5m, size of main transformer cavern, which is located at downside of powerhouse cavern and with net rock wall thickness of about 27.75m, is L93.65m×W15.6m×H12.3m.

According to the previous geological information and contractor's interpretation, inter bedded mica schist and quartz-schist are developed / distributed along cavern axis and are assumingly classified as Type II or Type III.

The initial proposed supports of powerhouse and transformer cavern are shown in Figure 1-1. The staged excavation is shown in Figure 1-2.



Figure 1-1Initial proposed supports of powerhouse and transformer cavern

(rock type II)



Figure 1-2Staged excavation of powerhouse and transform cavern

1.2 Design Objective

This calculation is aim to verify the proposed supports include rock dowels and shotcrete be effective and feasible; ensure stability of caverns and safety of construction activities during cavern excavation. Further 3-D stability analysis is planned to be conducted to provide more reliable information to support design of powerhouse and main transformer caverns.

1.3 References

(1) Contract documents, Employer's Requirement
(2) Tunnels and Shafts in Rock (EM 1110-2-2901)
(3) Regarding general Powerhouse size determination confirmation UT1-HEP-PC-DHI-2022-0271
(4) High Strength Deformed Steel Bars and Wires for Concrete Reinforcement Specification (Fourth Revision) (IS 1786-2008)
(5) Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete and Commentary (ACI 318)

2 Theoretical Background of Calculations

2.1 Software

Software Phase 2 is applied to conduct the calculation. Phase2 is a powerful 2D finite element program for soil and rock applications. Phase2 can be used for a wide range of engineering projects including excavation design, slope stability, groundwater seepage, probabilistic analysis, consolidation, and dynamic analysis capabilities.

Software UNWEDGE is an interactive software for the stability analysis of three-dimensional

wedge formed by structural discontinuity and underground excavation, which is used to analyze the underground excavation problem with discontinuous structural plane in rock mass. UNWEDGE calculates the safety factor of potentially unstable wedge, and can analyze the influence of support system on wedge stability.

2.2 Modeling Conditions, Material Law, Constitutive Model

The modeling conditions are plane strain. The material law is elasto-plastic combined with strain-softening (for rock). The rock mass is modeled as a continuum, homogeneous and isotropic. The failure criteria are the Mohr-Coulomb failure criteria. What needs tobeemphasized is that such continuum model with homogeneous and isotropic material is a model, only, and does not necessarily reflect reality. In reality, the displacements occur in blocky rock masses always along discontinuities (joints, foliation etc.), which leads to loosening of the rock mass. The determined plastic zone in the continuums model represents an area of the rock mass where rock blocks were displaced to each other (= loosening of rock mass). In reality, it does not represent an area where the material was subject to plastic flow, as in soil.

2.3 In situ horizontal stress

For unavailability of field measurement of in situ stresses at the area, gravitational stresses are adopted.

The vertical stress due to the overlying rock is:

$$\sigma_z = \gamma h$$

where γ represents the density that is the unit weight of the rock.

The horizontal in situ stresses also depend on the depth below surface. They are generally defined in terms of the vertical stress as follows:

$$K_0 = \sigma_h / \sigma_v$$

Where K_0 represents the lateral rock stress ratio. Since there are three principal stresses directions, there will be two horizontal principal stresses. In an undisturbed rock mass, the two horizontal principal stresses may be equal.

In this calculation, K_0 is taken as 1.3 according to topographic and geological conditions of the engineering area.

3 Properties of Materials

3.1 Rock Mass

The mechanical parameters of surrounding rock are shown in Table 3-1 as per approval letter RN 0031 but the residual cohesion is taken as 0.5 times of peak value.

Table 3-1 Mechanical parameter for surrounding rock of powerhouse caverns

Class of surrounding rock	Q	Unit weigh t	Poisso n's ratio of rock mass	Elastic modulus Of rock mass	Rock mass/rock mass shear (shearing) strength				Uniaxial compressive strength of rock mass	Uniaxial compressive strength of intact rock	Tensile strength of rock mass
					Peak		Residual				
		γ (kN/ m^3)	μ	Em （GPa）	*C* *（MPa）*	*Φ* *（°）*	*Φr* *（°）*	*Cr* *（MPa）*	UCS rm （MPa）	INTACT UCS r （MPa）	σ_{tm} (MPa)
II	10--40	27.0	0.25	20.5	2.5	48	38	1.25	13.0	60	1.3
III	7--10	26.5	0.25	10.0	1.0	45	35	0.5	4.8	60	0.48

3.2 Rock Dowels

1) Dowel type: cement mortar full length bonded dowel
2) Yield strength: 500MPa
3) Compressive/ Tensile strength: 545MPa
4) Elastic modulus: 210000MPa
5) Allowable tensile strength of rock bolt steel: 500MPa/1.5=333MPa. The value 1.5 is safety factor.

3.3 Shotcrete

The mechanical parameters of plastic fiber shotcrete are shown in Table 3-2. Elastic modulus is calculated by formula Ec=4700*fc'^0.5 (ACI 318, section 19.2.2 Modulus of elasticity).

Table 3-2Mechanical parameter of plastic fiber shotcrete

Compressive strength fc'(MPa)	Tensile Strength (MPa)	Elastic modulus Ec (MPa)	Unit Weight (kN/m^3)	Poisson ratio
25	2.5	23500	24.0	0.2

4 Initial Proposed Supports

4.1 Support of Excavation Class

The initial proposed supports for each rock type are shown in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1 Initial proposed supports for each rock type

Item	Unit	Initial proposed supports	
		II	III
Shotcrete thickness	mm	150	150
Shotcrete strength	MPa	25	25
Rock dowel length	m	9.0/6.0 for powerhouse 7.0/5.0 for transformer	9.0/6.0 for powerhouse 7.0/5.0 for transformer
Rock dowel spacing within each row	m	2.0	1.5
Rock dowel row spacing	m	2.0	1.5

4.2 Safety Factor

The support safety factor for shotcrete is 1.2.

The minimum safety factor for wedges analysis is 2.0.

5 Model Set-up, Boundary and Loading Conditions, Stress Initiation

For the case of gravitational loading, only, boundary and loading conditions to be set as shown below. The lower boundary of the counted domain is fixed in the X and Y directions, two lateral boundaries fixed in the X direction but unconstrained in the Y direction, and the top is free. The load of overburden above the top boundary is automatically applied by the software according to the elevations. Initial stress conditions in the model can be calculated by gravitational loading, or by specifying the relative ground surface elevation.

The finite element model for rock class II and III is shown as Figure 5-1, and the corresponding gravitational loading is shown in the Table 5-1.



Figure 5-1 Finite element model and boundary conditions

Table 5-1Gravitational loading for surrounding rock

Rock class	Buried depth	Rock unit weight	Overburden gravitational loading
	m	kN/m ^{3}	MPa
II	304.5	27	8.2
III	304.5	26.5	8.1

6 Calculation Results

6.1 Rock class II



Figure 6-1 Surrounding rock first principal stress (Rock Class II)



Figure 6-2 Surrounding rock third principal stress (Rock Class II)



Figure 6-3 Surrounding rock plastic zone (Rock Class II)



Figure 6-4 Surrounding rock displacement (Rock Class II)



Figure 6-5 Rock dowel stress (Rock Class II)



Figure 6-6 Bearing capacity of shotcrete (Rock Class II)

6.2 Rock class III



Figure 6-7 Surrounding rock first principal stress (Rock Class III)



Figure 6-8 Surrounding rock third principal stress (Rock Class III)



Figure 6-9 Surrounding rock plastic zone (Rock Class III)



Figure 6-10 Surrounding rock displacement (Rock Class III)



Figure 6-11 Rock dowel stress (Rock Class III)



Figure 6-12 Bearing capacity of shotcrete (Rock Class III)

7 Wedge Analysis

7.1 Discontinuity Input Data

According to the site investigation, there are three group joints may distribute in the powerhouse, as is shown in Table 7-1. The stereographic projection of these joints in rock mass in shown in Figure 7-1. The Mechanical parameter of joints is shown in Table 7-2.

Table 7-1Occurrence of joints in the powerhouse cavern

Joint NO.	Dip Direction	Dip	powerhouse Direction(Trend)
J1(foliation)	357.5 °	20 °	N92.563°E
J2	37.5 °	70 °	
J3	220 °	70 °	

Table 7-2Mechanical parameter of joints in the powerhouse cavern

JONIT TYPE	JRC	JCS	C	Φ
		（MPa）	（MPa）	（°）
Foliation(J1)	6	70	0.20	35.0
lithoclasts cemented (J2、J3)	8	50	0.15	28.8



Figure 7-1 Stereographic projection of the joins in the powerhouse

7.2 Wedge Stability Analysis

According to the wedge stability analysis, 4 potential wedge may occur in the excavation periods, which are shown in **Figure 7-2~Figure 7-5.**



Figure 7-2 Potential wedge on upper right



Figure 7-3 Potential wedge on lower left



Figure 7-4 Potential wedge near end



Figure 7-5 Potential wedge far end

It could be drawn from the calculation results that the minimum safety factor of potential wedges without supports in powerhouse is 8.49 which is bigger than the minimum safety factor of 2.0.

8 Conclusions

(1) For rock class II, the full cross-section of the powerhouse cavern is under compressive stress, to the maximum compressive stress value of 9.0MPa, occurred at arch crown of powerhouse cavern, less than the rock's comprehensive strength; plastic zone depth is 2.8m, which is less than length of rock dowel; magnitude of surrounding rock displacement is not large, and maximum displacement is 22mm, which accounts for 0.1% of powerhouse span; rock dowel stress at arch crown is larger than that at side wall, maximum rock dowel stress is 333.3MPa, tensile stress is less than allowable strength of rock dowel, bearing capacity of plastic fiber shotcrete meets requirement of safety factor.

(2) As per exposed geological conditions of investigation tunnel, rock type of powerhouse is assumed as class II given no large discontinuities, faults developed. Proposed supports for rock II are within common engineering recognition.

(3) For rock class III, the full cross-section of the powerhouse cavern is under compressive stress, to the maximum compressive stress value of 4.5MPa, occurred at arch crown of powerhouse and transformer cavern, less than the rock's comprehensive strength; plastic zone depth of upside walls is about 13.4m, and runs through the rock wall between the two caverns; maximum displacement of side walls is 80mm, which accounts for 0.38% of powerhouse span; rock dowel stress of downside walls is larger than other area, maximum rock dowel stress is 333.4MPa, tensile stress of most rock dowel reaches allowable strength of rock dowel; stress of plastic fiber shotcrete exceeds requirement of given safety factor.

(4) The plastic zone is too deep. pre-stressed anchorages are applied in the calculation process but scope of the plastic zoon is not reduced. Smaller rock dowel spacing is not suitable for this type of rock according to engineering experience. It is proposed to increase the residual cohesion of rock class III to 0.7~0.8 times of peak value. Besides, 2D finite element calculation cannot fully reflect the stresses, displacement conditions of the surrounding rock and supports of the entire caverns/tunnels complexity; further 3D finite element analysis/calculation is planned to be conducted to provide more reliable information.

(5) For wedge analysis, the minimum safety factor of potential wedges without supports in powerhouse is bigger than the minimum safety factor. However, the drainage system in construction periods is important in the stability calculation of wedges, it should be cause attention in the excavation process.